

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Responsive to the Official Action mailed December 24, 2008, applicants have revised the claims of their application in an earnest effort to place this case in condition for allowance. Specifically, claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10-19 have been cancelled.

In the Action, the Examiner indicated the allowability of claims 20-33, but objected to an informality in claim 20. Claim 20 has been revised, and is now believed to be in condition for formal allowance.

By this response, applicants have added new dependent claims 34 and 35, to depend from claim 20. These claims find support in connection with the disclosure of Figure 10c of their application, wherein the lens element of the construction is disclosed as being integrated with the associated substrate (421). Entry is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, formal allowance of claims 20-35 is believed to be in order and is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner wish to speak with applicants' attorneys, they may be reached at the number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this submission to Deposit Account No. 23-0785.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Stephen D. Geimer, Reg. No. 28,846

WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER  
500 West Madison Street, Suite 3800  
Chicago, Illinois 60661  
312/876-1800

Application No. 10/527,778  
Amendment dated March 24, 2009  
Reply to Office Action of December 24, 2008



### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on March 24, 2009.

A handwritten signature, likely of the Commissioner for Patents, written over a horizontal line.